

Citizens' Oversight Projects (COPs)

771 Jamacha Rd #148
El Cajon, CA 92019
CitizensOversight.org
619-820-5321

June 4, 2018



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Citizens' Oversight's NRC filing "HELMS" Public Comment Period Ends June 5 at Midnight

Legal Action with NRC to Improve Nuclear Waste Storage Safety

**Proposed "HELMS" Storage plan requires 1,000 year design life rather than measly
current 40-year life such as those in use at San Onofre**

The NRC docket is at the following URL:

<https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=NRC-2018-0017>

More information can be found about HELMS n this web page:

<http://copswiki.org/Common/HelmsProposal>

San Diego (2018-06-04) -- Citizens Oversight, the organization that negotiated a plan of action to move the waste from San Onofre, announced today that the public comment period will close tomorrow, June 5, 2018, at midnight, on their formal petition submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) under NRC Regulations section 2.802, regarding "Part 72" regulations that govern how the nuclear industry handles spent nuclear fuel.

The basis for the proposed changes is established by the HELMS proposal, also part of the submission, meaning Hardened, Extended-life, Local, Monitored, Surface storage. HELMS requires a design life for safe containment of toxic nuclear spent fuel of 1,000 years rather than the current requirement of only 40 years, despite the reality that the spent fuel will likely remain on the surface for at least 200 years.

Ray Lutz, Founder of Citizens Oversight said, "This proposal dovetails with our recently negotiated settlement to move the 3.6 million pounds of waste from San Onofre to a safer

place. We need to ensure that the destination for this waste and every other location where waste is stored meets prudent and reasonable standards. That means it must be designed for the long term and not just for a 40-year time horizon. We are only asking that the NRC deal with a fundamental inconsistency in their own regulations. They now allow indefinite surface storage all over the country, but their regulations only mandate a measly 40-year design life.”

The HELMS proposal suggests that the current relatively thin (5/8” or less) canisters be enclosed in a much thicker outer shell, which can then preserve the internal canister from corrosion and other deterioration. Also, the outer shell can be easily replaced without a fuel pool or hot cell, and any cracks or other breach of the outer shell can be easily detected using 7/24 monitoring of the internal pressure rather than using occasional robotic inspections that have never been proven to work.

Lutz continued, “We learned from the Exxon-Valdez disaster that it was prudent to use dual-wall oil tankers. Let’s learn the hard lesson and use dual-wall casks rather than these ridiculous thin single-wall cans for high-level nuclear waste.”

Citizens Oversight hopes that the public will speak out in support of improved safety of surface storage options. Anyone can comment either by typing it in or submitting a letter.

Ray Lutz is available to be scheduled for media interviews.

Before Exxon Valdez disaster, oil tankers had Single hull

Crude oil

LESSON LEARNED??

Law passed to mandate double hulls

1989 Oil spill devastated Prince William Sound

Crude oil

NOW:

Outside Air

Nuke Waste

Single Wall 5/8" or less

U.S. Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations (ISFSI)

70+ NUKE WASTE DANGER ZONES

All Near Precious Water Resources

HELMS PROPOSAL

Double Wall limits corrosion

We will have about 10,000 thin single-wall nuclear waste canisters spread across the US

SIGN THE HELMS PETITION

CitizensOversight.org

Let's avoid a catastrophe: double wall design + local surface storage, away from water, 7/24 electronic monitoring

PRESS CONTACT:

Madge Torres -- 760-613-7035 or 760-753-1886 / madgicalcats@gmail.com

Ray Lutz -- 619-820-5321 / raylutz@citizenoversight.org

###