1	RAYMOND LUTZ 1010 Old Chase Ave	
2	El Cajon, CA 92020 619-820-5321	
3	raylutz@citizensoversight.org	
4		
5	RAYMOND LUTZ, IN PRO PER	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
9	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF SAN DIEGO
10		
11	RAYMOND LUTZ) Case No.:
12	Plaintiff(s),	OMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
13	VS.))
14	MICHAEL VU, San Diego County Registrar of Voters	Ó DATE: O TIME: O DEPT:
1516	HELEN N. ROBBINS-MEYER, San Diego County Chief Administrative Officer))) Judge:
17	SAN DIEGO COUNTY, a municipality	Ó Dept: O Action Filed: O Trial Date:
18	Defendant(s).) 111a1 Date.)
19		
20	PARTIES	
21	Plaintiff is a resident and registered voter in the	County of San Diego unincorporated area. He is
22	also the National Coordinator of CitizensOversig	tht.org, and has conducted extensive reports and
23	reviews of the election processes used in San Die	ego county since 2008, including a top-to-bottom
24	report regarding the 2010 election. Plaintiff is a	trained engineer with MSEE degree and not an
25	attorney.	
26	/	
27	/	
28	/	
	COMBLABIT FOR DE-	CLADATODY DELIEF
	COMPLAINT FOR DE	LANATUR I RELIEF

1	Defendant Michael Vu, San Diego County Registrar of Voters, is responsible for conducting
2	election procedures in compliance with California State Law, including the California State
3	Elections Code.
4	
5	Defendant Helen N. Robbins-Meyer, Chief Administrative Officer, County of San Diego, has
6	ultimate responsibility for operation of the County of San Diego to ensure compliance with all laws,
7	including compliance with the California Elections Code, maintains an office at the County
8	Administration Building located in the City of San Diego at 1600 Pacific Highway, Rm 166, San
9	Diego, CA, 92101. Robbins-Meyers is the supervisor to Michael Vu.
10	
11	Defendant County of San Diego is a municipality in the State of California and operates as an
12	election district under the California State Election Code, with principle offices in the County
13	Administration Building as described above.
14	
15	Plaintiff complains and for causes of action alleges as follows:
16	
17	SUMMARY:
18	The San Diego County Registrar of Voters is not in compliance with California Elections Code
19	regarding the number of Vote-by-Mail (VBM) ballots hand-counted in the 1% manual tally and
20	requests that the Registrar of Voters comply with the law and
21	a) include all VBM ballots in the calculation of the required number of batches to achieve
22	one-percent, and
23	b) thus the number of VBM batches will increase from 8 to approximately 15;
24	c) all VBM ballot batches be involved in the selection of batches involved in the 1% manual
25	tally;
26	d) all provisionals and ballots removed during QC inspection should be included in the 1%
27	manual tally; and
28	

26

27

28

discrepancies and any possible computer programming errors. However, election integrity

3

The 1% manual tally is conducted by teams of workers who carefully manually count and tally

votes of all the ballots in selected precincts. One use of the 1% Manual Tally is to detect

meaning is that a ballot is "cast" when it leaves control of the voter and is turned over to the

elections official. In the precinct, the ballot is cast when it is inserted into the ballot box. VBM

26

27

28

1	ballots are cast when they are submitted to the U.S. Postal Service or hand-delivered to a precinct or
2	the Registrar of Voters.
3	
4	1% Manual Tally Options
5	There are two options for conducting the 1% manual tally, as specified in CEC Sec. 15360. The first
6	is to tally 1% of all the precincts, poll ballots and VBM ballots. The second is to conduct the tally in
7	two parts, one being the polls ballots, and one being the VBM ballots which are grouped into
8	batches rather than being grouped by precinct.
9	
10	San Diego County conducts their 1% manual tally according to the second option. VBM ballots are
11	grouped into "batches" with mixed precincts and mixed ballot types, with approximately 400 ballots
12	per batch.
13	
14	Scope of Manual Tally
15	EXHIBIT C is the public notice of the manual tally procedure. It states that "Pursuant to State Law,
16	a manual tally of at least 1% of the precincts and 1% of the mail ballots, selected at random, is
17	required as part of the post-Election Day canvass of the election."
18	
19	We note there are no other categories or exclusions. The public notice does not say that "the manual
20	tally includes 1% of the precinct ballots minus the provisional ballots and minus those omitted in
21	the QC process." The public notice does not say that it is "1% of the mail ballots already processed,
22	excluding about 285,000 ballots not yet processed."
23	
24	Elections law allows the Registrar to start counting VBM ballots early on Election Day prior to the
25	close of polls, while withholding the resulting tally from the public until polls close at 8pm. The
26	initial tally provided to the public and media at that time are VBM ballots that were received early
27	in the process or voters who cast their ballot at the registrar's office.
、 。	

After the polls close, polls ballots are transported from each polling place to the Registrar of Voters' office and they are scanned over the course of the night. The first step in this process is receiving the boxes of ballots from the precinct.

QC Inspection Removal of Ballots

When received by the Registrar of Voters, The boxes are opened and the ballots are given an initial inspection. At this point, we learned that a number of ballots may be removed from the precinct box. In our review of the process in 2010, about 5% of the ballots were removed at this point for any of a number of reasons, such as additional marking, mutilation, etc. These ballots may be "remade" prior to scanning by a remaking group. These ballots are currently not included in the 1% manual tally process. We don't know how many ballots were removed in this fashion in this election because the ROV does not provide this information. We can, however, determine this number by reviewing the number of signatures on sign-in rosters and then subtracting the number actually scanned.

Unofficial Results

Sometime early in the morning, the set of early VBM ballots has been scanned and all the normal (not provisional and not removed due to QC inspection) ballots from the precincts have been scanned. This forms the initial unofficial results of the election.

At the end of election night, the website of the Registrar said that 285,000 ballots were yet to be counted. See Exhibit B, which is a snapshot of the header of that page the day after the election.

Random selection of Precincts and Batches

The day after the election, the random selection is performed. The selection is done only on the set of ballots already processed (not including the 285,000 ballots mentioned as "still to be counted.")

- 1	
1	We have asked the Registrar of Voters to delay this selection process so as to include all the VBM
2	ballots but he refused. (See Exhibit F, email correspondence with Michael Vu.)
3	
4	Members of the public are requested to assist in the random selection of precincts and VBN
5	batches. There are 1522 precinct in San Diego County. The selection of precincts is performed
6	using three sets of ping pong balls marked 0-9 and one set of two ping pong balls marked with
7	and 1. A member of the public selects one precinct out of 1522 by choosing one ball from each o
8	the ones, tens, hundreds, and thousands containers. A total of 16 precincts are chosen in thi
9	manner. See Exhibit D.
10	
11	(In addition to these 16 precincts, precincts and races are chosen by the registrar to ensure that all
12	races in the election are checked by a manual tally procedure. These additional precincts and race
13	are added later.)
14	
15	Immediately after choosing the 16 precincts, a member of the public selects 1% of the VBM batche
16	already scanned. This is done in a similar way to the selection of precincts, using ping pong balls
17	See Exhibit E for the batches selected.
18	
19	There were about 730 mail in "batches" included in the 1% manual tally selection process. If ther
20	are are 400 ballots in each batch, this represents about 730*400=292,000 ballots included in th
21	VBM tally process.
22	
23	Plaintiff attended the public selection process and video recorded the process. Plaintiff mentioned
24	the discrepancy between the 730 batches and the fact that the 285,000 unprocessed VBM and
25	provisionals was not included in the selection process. They said the 1% manual tally only include
26	the VBM ballots already scanned.
27	
28	

1 In this election, there was also an unusually high number of provisional ballots primarily due to No Party Preference (NPP) voters who have the option of choosing a partisan ballot only for the 3 presidential race for most parties (but not the Republican party). These "crossover" ballots included the presidential race for that party, all the nonpartisan races, but not the strictly partisan races such as central committee members. In this election, the vast majority of cases were NPP voters choosing 5 the DEM ballot so they could vote for Sen. Bernie Sanders. 6 8 Strictly speaking, these ballots could have then be placed with the other ballots for the precinct but poll workers were taught to treat these as "provisional" ballots. The normal and most prevalent use 9 of provisional ballots is to deal with a voter who normally is a VBM voter and who does not have 10 his VBM ballot to turn in. If the voter accidentally also voted by mail, the VBM ballot would already have been received. The VBM ballot will be used and the poll ballot will not. Otherwise, 12 13 the voter could unintentionally vote twice. 14 Also, there were so many people requesting NPP/DEM ballots that many precincts ran out. In those 15 cases, they opted to use a regular DEM ballot but omit the central committee race. These would also 16 be treated as provisionals. 17 18 19 According to answers by staff at the ROV, there were about 74,000 provisionals received. 20 THE CONTROVERSY 22 Plaintiff asserts that the election code states clearly and succinctly that the 1% manual tally be 23 performed in two parts, one part including 1% of all ballots cast at precincts (including provisional ballots and ballots removed in the QC inspection) and one part including 1% of all VBM ballots 24 25 cast (including the VBM ballots already processed and those still in the queue to be processed). 26

11

21

27

28

1	Instead, the registrar included only about 290,000 VBM ballots in the set of batches that could be
2	selected for the 1% manual tally process, choosing 8 batches, with each batch being about 400
3	ballots.
4	
5	He did not include provisional ballots in the set of ballots included in the 1% manual tally. He did
6	not include the ballots removed during QC inspection in the set audited by the manual tally. He did
7	not include the VBM ballots still in the queue waiting to be processed in the 1% manual tally.
8	
9	Thus, with 290,000 VBM ballots available for audit in the 1% manual tally, but with about the same
10	number waiting to be processed, instead of a 1% manual tally, this should be called the "half-of-
11	one-percent manual tally."
12	
13	Without question, the Registrar is in violation of the clear intent of the law.
14	
15	OUR DEMAND
16	Plaintiff demands that:
17	1. A larger sample of VBM ballots be included in the manual tally process. The percentage must be
18	at least 1% of the VBM ballots known to have been cast. In this case, it roughly double the number
19	of batches originally selected are required.
20	
21	2. Essentially all VBM ballots and provisional ballots should be included in the set of ballots
22	included in the manual tally process. By including these ballots in the process, more of the process
23	is checked, including the ballots removed in the QC inspection.
24	
25	3. The definition for "batch" according to the election code "means a set of ballots tabulated by the
26	voting system devices, for which the voting system can produce a report of the votes cast." Plaintiff
27	asked for data files corresponding to the "report of the votes cast" for batches in the VBM manual
28	tally and the Registrar refused to provide these files. In addition, our oversight protocol is

1	substantially enhanced if we get all the data files corresponding to the batches PRIOR TO the 1%
2	manual tally selection process.
3	
4	4. The official election results should not be certified unless the 1% manual tally is performed
5	according to the law.
6	
7	A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order tha
8	the process used by the Registrar of Voters in conduct of the election procedures will comply with
9	the law as outlined above.
10	
11	Plaintiff requests a speedy hearing for declaratory judgment as time is of the essence to compe
12	defendant to be compliant with the law in the current election cycle.
13	
14 DATED: June 16, 2016	DATED 1 16 2016
	DATED: June 16, 2016
16	RAYMOND LUTZ In Pro Per
17	III I I O I CI
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
l	10

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF